# Case 1:19-cv-00049 Document 1-1 Filed on 03/22/19 in TXSD Page 1 of 12

	2019-DCL-00110	2019-DCL-00110 2/15/2019 4:02 PM
	CAUSE NUMBER	Eric Garza Cameron County District Clerk By Beatriz Losoya Deputy Clerk
RAUL RODRIGUEZ	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff,	§	
	<b>§</b>	Cameron County - 445th District Court
VS.	§	JUDICIAL DISTRICT
×	§	
GREAT LAKES INSURAN	ICE SE §	
Defendant	<b>§</b>	CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS

# PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION AND REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

#### TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

NOW COMES, RAUL RODRIGUEZ (hereinafter referred to as ("PLAINTIFF"), and files his Original Petition against DEFENDANT, GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE for cause of action would respectfully show the Court the following:

## I. Discovery

Pursuant to Rule 47 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, PLAINTIFF seeks damages of monetary relief of over \$100,000, but not more than \$200,000. PLANTIFF intends to conduct discovery pursuant to a Level 2 Discovery Control Plan.

#### II. Service of Process

GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE, may be served with process by serving citation and a copy of this Original Petition by Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested on its agent for service at: Texas Department of Insurance, 333 Guadalupe, Austin TX 78701, which can then forward process to Great Lakes Reinsurance c/o Drinker Biddle, 1177 Avenue of the Americas Floor 41, New York, New York 10036-2714.

GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE is in the business of insurance in the State of Texas. The insurance business done by GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE in Texas includes, but is not limited to the following:

- 1. The making and issuing of contracts of insurance with the PLAINTIFF;
- 2. The taking or receiving of application for insurance, including the PLAINTIFF'S application for insurance;
- 3. The receiving or collection of premiums, commissions, membership fees, assessments, dues or other consideration for any insurance or any part thereof, including any such consideration or payments from the PLAINTIFF;
- 4. The issuance or delivery of contracts of insurance to residents of this state or a person authorized to do business in this state, including the PLAINTIFF;
- 5. The adjusting and inspection of PLAINTFF'S insurance claims;
- 6. Making insurance coverage decisions;
- 7. Taking part in making insurance coverage decisions; and
- 8. Making representations to PLAINTIFF as being an agent for an insurance company with authority to make coverage decisions;

#### III. Jurisdiction and Venue

Venue of this action is proper in NUECES County, Texas because: the policy at issue was issued and delivered in NUECES County, Texas; the property insured is situated in NUECES County, Texas; PLAINTIFF'S losses occurred in NUECES County, Texas, and all or part of the events made the basis of this lawsuit and giving rise to PLAINTIFF'S claims and causes of action occurred in NUECES County, Texas.

### IV. Facts

GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE and/or its agents committed the actions alleged against PLAINTIFF in this petition. PLAINTIFF owns the properties located at: 1701 & 1701 ½ E. Jackson; 1802- 1804 Rio Hondo Rd; 1806-1808 Rio Hondo Rd.

Harlingen, TX 78550 with Policy# GRLK0460; Claim# 2018-02035. GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE provided coverage to the PLAINTIFF for such buildings, personal property, and other matter. During the term of said policy, PLAINTIFF sustained covered losses in the form of a hail/windstorm event on or about August 26, 2017 in NUECES County, and water damages resulting there from, including damage to the architectural finishes of the property.

PLAINTIFF promptly reported losses to GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE pursuant to the terms of the insurance policy. As a result, PLAINTIFF'S property sustained damage, including the cost of destruction and restoration of the property necessary to access and fix the damaged areas. These are covered damages under PLAINTIFF'S insurance policy with GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE. PLAINTIFF has been damaged in an amount in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court, including injuries sustained as a result of having conduct business during the pendency of GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE'S conduct.

#### V. Conditions Precedent

All conditions precedent have been waived by the insurance company, have been performed by Plaintiffs, or have otherwise been satisfied. Despite these facts, GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE has failed and refused to pay PLAINTIFFS a just amount in accordance with their contractual obligations, agreements, and representations. Moreover, Defendant's claims that Plaintiffs did not comply with the contract are barred by waiver, based on Defendant's breach and noncompliance with the material terms of the insurance contract. Generally, when one party to contract commits material breach, the other party is discharged or excused from further performance. *Mustang Pipeline Co., Inc. v. Driver Pipeline Co. Inc.*, 134 S.W.3d 195, 196 (Tex. 2004).

#### VI. Breach of Contract

PLAINTIFF purchased an insurance policy with GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE. PLAINTIFF'S property was damaged by the hail/windstorm and water damage, of which are covered under the insurance policy. GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE has denied and/or delayed payment of PLAINTIFF'S covered claims. GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE has no reasonable basis for denying, delaying, or failing to pay PLAINTIFF'S claims for damages. GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE knew or should have known that there was no such reasonable basis to deny, delay, and fail to pay such claims. The conduct of GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE was irresponsible, and unconscionable. GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE took advantage of the PLAINTIFF'S lack of sophistication in insurance and construction matters to a grossly unfair degree. GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE has, by its conduct, breached its contract with the PLAINTIFF. The conduct of GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE has proximately caused the injuries and damages to the PLAINTIFF.

## VII. Second Cause of Action: DTPA Violations

PLAINTIFF is a consumer entitled to relief under the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices—Consumer Protection Act ("DTPA"). By its conduct outlined above, GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE has engaged in the following violations of the DTPA which, together and separately, have been a producing cause of PLAINTIFF'S damages:

(a) GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE made false representations about PLAINTIFF'S rights, remedies and obligations under the policies at issue. These statements were a misrepresentation of the insurance policies and their benefits in violation of §§17.46(b)(5), (7), (12) and (14), Texas Business & Commerce Code;

- (b) GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE'S actions constitute an unconscionable course of conduct entitling PLAINTIFF to relief under §17.50(a)(1), (2), (3), and (4) of the Texas Business & Commerce Code;
- (c) GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE failed to disclose information to PLAINTIFF concerning the nature and extent of their insurance policy which was known by GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE at the time for the purpose of inducing PLAINTIFF into transactions which he would not have otherwise entered in violation of section 17.46(b)(9) and (23), Texas Business and Commerce Code;
- (d) As described above, GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE violated Chapter 541,

  Texas Insurance Code, entitling PLAINTIFF to relief under section 17.50(a)(4),

  Texas Business and Commerce Code.

GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE took advantage of PLAINTIFF'S lack of knowledge in construction and insurance claims processes, misrepresented losses covered under the insurance policy, and failed to disclose pertinent information regarding damages to the PLAINTIFF'S property. GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE conduct as described herein was a producing cause of damages to PLAINTIFF for which PLAINTIFF sues. The conduct of the GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE was more than just a mistake, and was done "knowingly" and/or "intentionally" as that term is derived by statute. Because of that, GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE may be subject to liability for additional damages under the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act. PLAINTIFF seeks an award of additional damages under the DTPA in an amount not to exceed three times the amount of economic damages.

VIII. Unfair Insurance Practices

GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE failed to inform PLAINTIFF of material facts such as the true scope of damage and cost to repair. GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE failed to properly process claims and have misrepresented material facts to the PLAINTIFF. GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE has failed to address all damage to the property and its contents causing further damage to the PLAINTIFF. Further, GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE has intentionally failed to fully investigate the loss; failed to properly convey all information to PLAINTIFF; and has intentionally ignored damages to the dwelling. PLAINTIFF'S property suffered from covered losses and damages of which GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE is fully aware. GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE has concealed damage known by it to exist. GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE has known about covered windstorm and water damages but has failed to perform proper testing and concealed facts from PLAINTIFF about the damages, ignoring PLAINTIFF'S plea for help. GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE has failed to warn PLAINTIFF of consequential damage to their property.

By its conduct outlined above, GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE committed unfair practices in the business of insurance prohibited by Chapter 541, Texas Insurance Code, and the statutes, rules and regulations incorporated therein. GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE committed the following acts in violation of Texas Insurance Code and Texas Administrative Code:

(1) GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE failed to, with good faith, effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of the PLAINTIFF'S claims once liability became reasonable clear (Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 541.060(a)(2)(A); Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 542.003(b)(4); 28 TAC section 21.203(4));

- (2) GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE failed to provide promptly to PLAINTIFF a reasonable explanation of the basis in the policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for denial of the claim or for the offer of a compromise settlement of the claim (Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 541.060(a)(3); 28 TAC section 21.203(9));
- (3) GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE refused to pay a claim without conducting a reasonable investigation with respect to that claim (Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 541.060(a)(7); TAC section 21.203(15));
- (4) GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE breached its duty of good faith and fair dealing at common law;
- (5) GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE failed to adopt and implement reasonable standards for the prompt investigation of claims arising under the insurer's policies (Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 542. 003(b)(3); 28 TAC section 21.203(3));
- (6) GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE compelled PLAINTIFF to institute a suit to recover an amount due under a policy by offering substantially less than the amount ultimately recovered in a suit brought by the policyholder (Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 542.003(b)(5); 28 TAC section 21.203(6);
- (7) GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE violated the Prompt Payment of Claims Statute (28 TAC section 21.203(18));
- (8) GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE committed the following unfair methods of competition or deceptive acts or practices in the business of insurance in violation of Texas Insurance Code and the Texas Administrative Code by:
  - (a) GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE made, issued or circulated or caused to be made, issued or circulated an estimate, illustration, circular or statement misrepresenting with respect to the policy issued or to be issued:
    - (i) the terms of the policy; and/or
    - (ii) the benefits or advantages promised by the policy.

- (b) GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE made an untrue statement of material fact (Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 541.060(a)(1); 28 TAC section 21.203(1));
- (c) GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE failed to state a material fact necessary to make other statements made not misleading considering the circumstances under which statements were made; and
- (d) GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE made statements in a manner that would mislead a reasonably prudent person to a false conclusion of material fact.
- (e) Refusing, a settlement offer under applicable first-party coverage on the basis that other coverage may be available or that third parties are responsible for the damages suffered, except as may be specifically provided in the policy (Tex. Ins. Code Ann 541.060(a)(5); 28 TAC section 21.203(11);

GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE'S conduct as described herein was a producing cause of damages to PLAINTIFF for which he sues.

## IX. Breach of the Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing

From and after the time the PLAINTIFF'S claims were presented to GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE, liability to pay the claims in accordance with the terms of insurance policies referenced above has been reasonably clear. Despite there being no basis whatsoever on which a reasonable insurance company would have relied to deny and/or delay payment for PLAINTIFF'S claims, GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE refused to accept the claims in totality and pay the PLAINTIFF as the policy required. At that time, GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE knew or should have known by the exercise of reasonable diligence that their liability was reasonably clear. GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE failed to conduct a reasonable and proper inspection of the claims and refused to rely on the true facts, resorting instead to producing faulty, incomplete and biased reasons to avoid paying a valid claim. This constitutes failing to handle or process

the PLAINTFF'S claims in good faith, an affirmative duty placed on GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE, as expressly stated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Vail v. Texas Farm Bureau*, 754 S.W.2d 129 at 135 (Tex. 1988). Through the actions described above, GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE breached its duty to deal fairly and in good faith with the PLAINTIFF. GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE'S breach was a proximate cause of the losses, expenses and damages suffered by the PLAINTIFF for which he sues.

X. Texas Insurance Code 542, Subchapter B Delay in Payment

PLAINTIFF gave prompt notice of their claims to GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE. GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE has engaged in unfair settlement claims practices as discussed above and denied and/or has delayed payment on PLAINTIFF'S claim. GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE'S reliance on reports and estimates from its adjusters and investigating adjusters has been "merely pretextual" and unreasonable. GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE'S investigation and use of adjusters' reports was an "outcome oriented investigation." GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE failed to comply with the requirements of Chapter 542 listed herein:

- (a) Failing to pay PLAINTIFF'S claim within 60 days of receiving all of the items, statements, and forms required by the insurer to secure final proof of loss, of the acceptance or rejection of a claim; and
- (b) Failing to request all of the items, statements and forms the GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE reasonably believed at the time would be required from PLAINTIFF'S to pay the claim within 15 days after receiving notice of the claim.

Pursuant to Texas Insurance Code Chapter 542, Subchapter B, PLAINTIFF is entitled to recover from GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE the statutory penalty of 5%

plus the interest rate determined under Section 304.003, Finance Code, on all amounts due on PLAINTIFF'S claims, together with attorney's fees, for which they sue.

XI.

PLAINTIFF'S allege that as to any terms, conditions, notices, or requests under the insurance contract, PLAINTIFF has substantially complied and/or is excused. In the alternative, PLAINTIFF makes the allegation of waiver and/or estoppel as to every defense or exclusion plead by GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE as to any exclusion, condition, or defense pled by GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE, PLAINTIFFS would show that:

- The clear and unambiguous language of the policy provides coverage for dwelling damage caused by windstorm and water damage, including the cost of access to fix the damaged areas. Any other construction of the language of the policy is void as against public policy;
- 2. Any other construction and its use by GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE violates section 541 and 542 of the Texas Insurance Code and are void as against public policy;
- 3. Any other construction violates Art. 17.50 of the Texas Business and Commerce Code, is unconscionable, was procured by fraudulent inducement, and is void as against public policy;
- 4. Any other construction is otherwise void as against public policy, illegal, and volatiles state law and administrative rule and regulation;
- 5. The adoption of any other construction constitutes wrongful or bad faith cancellation and/or refusal to renew a portion of PLAINTIFF'S predecessor policy with GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE. In this

- regard, PLAINTIFF would show that his insurance policy was renewed uninterrupted for many years; and
- 6. The adoption of any other construction constitutes conduct in violation of the laws of this state, including section 541 and 542 of Texas Insurance Code is void as against public policy.

If this Court finds any ambiguity in the policy, the rules of construction of such policies mandate the construction and interpretation urged by PLAINTIFF. In the alternative, GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE is judicially, administratively, or equitably estopped from denying PLAINTIFF'S construction of the policy coverage at issue. To the extent that the wording of such policy does not reflect the true intent of all parties thereto, PLAINTIFF pleads the doctrine of mutual mistake requiring reformation.

## XII. Exemplary Damages

Plaintiffs would further show that the acts and omissions of Defendant and its agents, adjusters, employees and/or representatives complained of herein were committed knowingly, willfully, intentionally, with actual awareness, and with the specific and predetermined intention of enriching said Defendant at the expense of Plaintiffs. In order to punish said Defendant for such unconscionable overreaching and to deter such actions and/or omissions in the future, Plaintiffs request exemplary damages pursuant to 17.50(b)(1) of the Texas Business and Commerce Code and § 541.152(b) of the Tex. Ins. Code.

#### XIII.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, PLAINTIFF respectfully requests this Honorable Court for the following relief: That upon final hearing and trial hereof, this Honorable Court grant to the PLAINTIFF such relief as to which he may show

herself justly entitled, either at law or in equity; either general or special, including declaratory judgment, judgment against the GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE for actual attorney's fees, cost of suit, mental anguish, DTPA violations, Texas insurance code violations, statutory penalties, and prejudgment and post judgment interest, including judgment for additional damages and punitive damage under the facts set forth in this or any amended pleading in exceeding the minimal jurisdicted limits of the court.

## XIV. Jury Demand

PLAINTIFF requests this Court empanel a jury to sit in the trial of this matter.

The requisite jury fee will be paid as required by law.

## XV. Requests for Disclosure

Under Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 194, PLAINTIFF requests that GREAT LAKES INSURANCE SE disclose, within 50 days of the service of this request, the information or material described in Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 194.

Respectfully submitted,

OMAR OCHOA LAW FIRM 121 N. 10<sup>th</sup> St.

McAllen, Texas 78501 Telephone: (956) 630-3266

<u>/s/ Omar Ochoa</u>

**OMAR OCHOA** 

State Bar No. 24079813 oochoa@omarochoalaw.com

occioa(comarocioaiaw.com

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF